

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3196

Title: Frequent Office Visits of Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Is a Prelude to Prevention of Dialysis Introduction

Reviewer code: 00503043

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-04-14 13:37

Date reviewed: 2013-04-17 11:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report may be helpful in making the other future studies. however,it needs to be summarized.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3196

Title: Frequent Office Visits of Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Is a Prelude to Prevention of Dialysis Introduction

Reviewer code: 00503392

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-04-14 13:37

Date reviewed: 2013-04-29 10:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I commend the author for taking on such an important situation in the advanced CKD population but unfortunately find too many issues to accept this article for submission. There is, in fact, data regarding longevity and quality of life in older patients with advanced CKD (CJASN 2009;4:1611, among others) that would agree with some of your suppositions but I don't find that your data of two patients adds anything to present day research. I am afraid that following two advanced CKD patients over 5 years do nothing to either support or contradict the contention that frequent office visits had any association with patient outcome.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3196

Title: Frequent Office Visits of Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Is a Prelude to Prevention of Dialysis Introduction

Reviewer code: 00503249

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-04-14 13:37

Date reviewed: 2013-05-04 23:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper by Mandal focuses on a major problem for old and very old people: whether or not undergo chronic dialysis. Since there are no studies so far available comparing survival or quality of life on conservative care and dialysis, anecdotal evidence by case-reports, although impressive, can not allow Author answer the hamletic dilemma. This concept should need a comment in the conclusions. Specific Comment Introduction is overlong