



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3882

Title: An update on management of Lupus Nephritis in children

Reviewer code: 00503284

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-05-30 09:42

Date reviewed: 2013-05-31 00:18

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well presented and very well written. It is concise, but widely discussed. The discussion of the treatment approaches was based on the most updated ACR recommendations. Additionally, the authors revised the new drugs (already in use for SLE or under investigation) and the references about the study results of each drug were also included. The figures are well-designed and very elucidative.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3882

Title: An update on management of Lupus Nephritis in children

Reviewer code: 00503257

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-05-30 09:42

Date reviewed: 2013-06-07 08:00

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read a review article entitled "An update on management of lupus nephritis in children" described by Dr. Sinha and Dr. Raut. Although I know it is difficult to draw an impressive review article in this area especially in childhood-onset disease, I enjoyed and interested in some part of the MS. However, I also feel that this MS remains, unfortunately, somewhat superficial description and discussion. I might suggest that the MS should be rewritten focused on current knowledge of less cytotoxic trend, i.e. keep children in mind, in depth. Also it should be focused on the use of rituximab, especially its efficacy and toxicity as well as adequate indication of the drug, in the treatment of pediatric-onset lupus.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3882

Title: An update on management of Lupus Nephritis in children

Reviewer code: 00503185

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-05-30 09:42

Date reviewed: 2013-06-14 16:00

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although there is no innovation. It is considered as a simplified and practical overview for lupus nephritis in children.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3882

Title: An update on management of Lupus Nephritis in children

Reviewer code: 00503179

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-05-30 09:42

Date reviewed: 2013-06-20 01:57

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a relevant and precise update of treatment of SLE in children. Established diagnostic criteria and treatment regimens are used in the review. Recent advances in medications are shortly discussed in a well balanced way. The lack of trials in children is stressed. I have only one objection. That is the lack of quoting the newly published diagnostic criteria from Europe (see reference below). Although not globally implemented, these diagnostic criteria should be mentioned. It should be added that the diagnostic criteria for SLE may change in the near future. Reference: 2012, SLICC SLE CRITERIA <http://www.rheumtutor.com>