

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7879

Title: The kinin B2 receptor does not exert renoprotective effects on mice with glycerol-induced rhabdomyolysis

Reviewer code: 00503255

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2013-12-04 11:13

Date reviewed: 2013-12-06 15:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr. Gattai studied the role of the kinin B2 receptor (B2R) function in mice with glycerol-induced rhabdomyolysis and concluded that B2R did not have a renoprotective role in this model. Title 1. The title has 14 words. It should be less than 12 words. 2. The running title less than 6 words should be provided Abstract 3. The first sentence appears grammatically not right. Please revise it. 4. The contents are difficult to read. Please describe more consciously. Introduction 5. page 4, line 17: "Is important to note" should be changed to "It is important to note that..." 6. page 5, line 1: "Is described" should be changed to "It is described" 7. page 5, line 2: "is described that" should be changed to "it is described that" Results 8. page 11, line 11: "no different" should be changed to "not different" 9. page 12, line 4: "but B2KO had a higher degree IV compared with WT" Is it true? The figure 3 showed that the degree IV is higher in figure 3F (WT) than that in figure 3H (B2KO). Discussion 10. The contents are hard to read. Please rewrite more clearly. 11. page 12, line 7: "Because is described" should be changed to "It is described" References 12. The reference style the authors used is not appropriate for this journal. Please read the instructions to authors of this journal and correct references. 13. PubMed citation numbers (PMID and DOI) should be provided to the reference list when available.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Nephrology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7879**Title:** The kinin B2 receptor does not exert renoprotective effects on mice with glycerol-induced rhabdomyolysis**Reviewer code:** 00503252**Science editor:** Huan-Huan Zhai**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-04 11:13**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-24 12:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Gattai et al. reported that the kinin B2 receptor knockout does not show a renoprotective role in glycerol-induced rhabdomyolysis of mice. The authors provided detailed data on this and the manuscript is well written. However, there are some issues to be addressed. Because of negative data, it would be worth examining reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the present model in order to show whether there is any relationship among renal damages, ROS and kinin B2 receptor. The method section about renal function analysis is too long. Some references can be cited to reduce the sentences. Did authors fix renal tissues with 70% ethanol (P9, L5)?