

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11962

Title: Increasing the use of biocompatible, glucose-free Peritoneal Dialysis solutions

Reviewer code: 00503272

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-15 18:28

Date reviewed: 2014-09-09 00:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The authors need to present their data under sub-headings for better comprehension by readers. For example, in its current form, the manuscript does not have an introduction page! 2. The manuscript was not paged. 3. Page 4, paragraph 3, line 3: The "list of price...." Do you mean "the least price"? Please clarify. Furthermore, in lines 5 and 6, what do you mean by x4...and x2....? 4. Page 5, paragraph 4, line 2: RRF definition should have come earlier when compared with what you did in line 3. 5. Page 6, paragraph 2, line 6: what is ISPD? 6. Page 7, paragraph 1, line 2: 'effects on' and not 'effectson'. 7. The references do not conform to journal format. 8. Table 2, Column 1, rows 3, 4 and 5, respectively: What is the meaning of Dianeal (1.5%) x 4, 2 x Dianeal, Nutrineal, Extraneal, and 2x Physioneal, Extraneal, Nutrineal? What is glc in row 7? 8. Authors must provide explanatory legend to Figure 1 for a better understanding of its content. Furthermore, the axes must be properly labelled. What are the axes about?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11962

Title: Increasing the use of biocompatible, glucose-free Peritoneal Dialysis solutions

Reviewer code: 00503322

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-15 18:28

Date reviewed: 2014-09-24 03:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have presented a review on the use of biocompatible glucose-free peritoneal dialysis solutions their effects on the development encapsulated peritoneal sclerosis, membrane and ultrafiltration failure. The effect of different PD solutions on the glycaemic control and the advantages and disadvantages of these solutions are well covered. They have also presented comparison of the conventional and biocompatible PD solution in the context of PD practice in the United Kingdom. There are some typographical errors, which need to be amended. It would be appropriate to present the sections with headings written in bold, which would make the article easily readable. In essence, authors have presented a review on the contemporary topic, which does have educational value and should be considered for publication.