

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15524

Title: IS THERE A ROLE FOR SYSTEMIC TARGETED THERAPY AFTER SURGICAL TREATMENT FOR METASTASES OF RENAL CELL CARCINOMA?

Reviewer's code: 02570386

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 13:19

Date reviewed: 2014-12-02 17:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am pleased to inform you that manuscript has been acceptable for publication in this journal, after peer-reviewing to secure excellence in scientific quality and presentation.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15524

Title: IS THERE A ROLE FOR SYSTEMIC TARGETED THERAPY AFTER SURGICAL TREATMENT FOR METASTASES OF RENAL CELL CARCINOMA?

Reviewer's code: 03030788

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 13:19

Date reviewed: 2014-11-30 20:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, i feel that you have made a good effort, trying to deal with a hard issue, given the fact that no uniformity is present between most of the studies as for the administration of adjuvant targeted therapy after metastasectomy of metastatic renal cell carcinoma, because some patients have already received neoadjuvant therapy where others do not. Therefore, the findings of multiple studies have to be evaluated with prejudice and no clear recommendation could be reached regarding this hot topic, necessitating conduction of randomized clinical trials regarding this topic. I feel that the present manuscript is a clinically useful thorough review of the existing literature, but needs minor revision in terms of spelling and linguistic errors as well as some errors regarding the format of the manuscript, as the classic format of the manuscript divided into Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion was not recognisable. With kind regards.