

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16644

Title: Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate in Kidney Transplantation: still searching for the best marker

Reviewer's code: 00503254

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 10:25

Date reviewed: 2015-02-10 13:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

none

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16644

Title: Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate in Kidney Transplantation: still searching for the best marker

Reviewer's code: 00503043

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 10:25

Date reviewed: 2015-02-11 10:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the study, it was aimed to review "ESTIMATING GLOMERULAR FILTRATION RATE IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: STILL SEARCHING FOR THE BEST MARKER" (ESPS Manuscript NO: 16644). The author discuss the strengths and limitations of these endogenous markers and their equations as estimators of GFR in the kidney transplant recipients, and their ability in predicting significant clinical outcomes. The subject is interesting and has certain clinical significance. However, the paper has some limits stated as follows: 1. In the introduction and endogenous markers there is too much reiteration 2. The conclusion section is also so long therefore it should be also abstracted. In conclusion, this manuscript should be subjected to a revision to be deemed for publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16644

Title: Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate in Kidney Transplantation: still searching for the best marker

Reviewer's code: 00503241

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 10:25

Date reviewed: 2015-02-23 05:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors make a comprehensive review of the methods for determination of GFR in renal transplantation, offer an extensive review of the literature on this topic and clearly summarise the main evidences for the question; correctly warn against translating or apply these prediction equations to transplanted patients, a very selected population, in whom these results need to be confirmed. Moreover, the authors correctly indicate the importance of an accurate assessment of renal graft function, mainly in predicting clinical outcomes, reliable and especially early in the course of deterioration of renal function in renal transplant. The importance of proteinuria as maker of deterioration of renal function also in transplanted patients, is known to be associated with poor allograft outcomes and with mortality; moreover, early proteinuria is frequently associated with an high risk of acute rejection episodes. This topic, just mentioned by the authors, to my opinion has to be strengthened.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16644

Title: Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate in Kidney Transplantation: still searching for the best marker

Reviewer's code: 00503286

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 10:25

Date reviewed: 2015-02-23 16:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper "Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate in Kidney Transplantation: still searching for the best marker" should be published in the presented form.