

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12153

Title: Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and management of chronic kidney disease in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients

Reviewer's code: 00503233

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-25 10:42

Date reviewed: 2014-09-05 00:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

excellent reviews, no changes needed, but for a slight improvement of language

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12153

Title: Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and management of chronic kidney disease in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients

Reviewer's code: 00503257

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-25 10:42

Date reviewed: 2014-09-05 14:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting well written review paper. However, several points should be addressed before publication. 1. In page 6, line8, [G1] < 90 should be corrected to [G1] > 90. 2. The whole volume of the section "Risk factors of CKD in HIV-infected patients" is rather large. Concise writing should be encouraged. It is nice to use a table describing glomerular and tubulointerstitial lesions associated with HIV infection and anti-retrovirus drugs to avoid repeat sentences. 3. In page 14, the section "Summary" should be shortened. I think that it is nice to delete the sentences in page 14, from line 3 with starting "CKD likely ---" to line 8 with ending "to early decrements in kidney function". Also, "Summary" should be changed to "Conclusion" or "Key message". 4. In Table 1, "(+)" after the word "Diabetes mellitus", "Hypertension" and "HCV coinfection" should be deleted. 5. In Figure 3, if the figure is not original, please add the sentences, such as "This figure is quoted from Ref. no. 3".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12153

Title: Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and management of chronic kidney disease in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients

Reviewer's code: 00503278

Reviewer's country: Poland

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-25 10:42

Date reviewed: 2014-09-04 04:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is written in proper medical language with respect all requirement for up-to-date review. This review focuses on recent epidemiology, clinical features, and management of CKD in a contemporary HIV-infected population. In my opinion is ready for publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12153

Title: Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and management of chronic kidney disease in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients

Reviewer's code: 00503292

Reviewer's country: South Africa

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-25 10:42

Date reviewed: 2014-07-31 01:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article will need some major revision prior to being acceptable for publication. My comments are on the attached document in Track changes.