

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15191

Title: Unexpected hypercalcemia in a diabetic patient with kidney disease

Reviewer's code: 00503218

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-11-13 19:05

Date reviewed: 2014-12-21 17:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please reduce the length of paper. Summary is long. Add more recent reference.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15191

Title: Unexpected hypercalcemia in a diabetic patient with kidney disease

Reviewer's code: 00289495

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-11-13 19:05

Date reviewed: 2014-12-21 19:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the "Case Report" section, it should be noted that Color Doppler exam has been performed and the relevant RI values have been obtained during spectral evaluation. It should also be noted that the values obtained are within normal ranges and not consistent with any abnormality. Also, incorporating some images from radiological studies such as renal US and CDUS as well as chest CT would enrich the paper and make it attractive for the radiologists as well. Please combine paragraph 2 starting with line 138 with first paragraph. Also, please combine line 158 with the preceeding paragraph. Also, the authors should clarify whether thin-section high-resolution computed tomographic (HRCT) is performed because it more clearly elucidate the intrathoracic lesions observed in sarcoidosis and may discriminate active inflammation from end-stage fibrosis. At the last part of the "Discussion", the authors should rephrase the conclusion and note some recommendations for the readers of the journal. In this regard, they should emphasize the main message which they are aiming to give. Finally, the paper needs minor English revision. Hence, the overall style and grammar of the paper should be checked and revised.