

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21162

Title: Renal and perinephric abscesses in West China Hospital: 10-year retrospective-descriptive study

Reviewer's code: 00466985

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-04 15:46

Date reviewed: 2015-08-12 02:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors analysed a large number of renal and perinephric abscesses in their centre. The manuscript needs extensive language editing. In some places the text seems difficult to understand. Specific comments: Abstract "About ... in this study" Please, rephrase. Mention precise number of cases. Treatment and outcome "At our unit, patients with suspected or proven RA and PNA are managed initially by empirical broad spectrum intravenous antibiotics. The decision of therapy mode was made depending on the clinical scenario and risk factors patients may be involved." This may go in the discussion. Page 8, astonishing. Please, rephrase. Page 11. Difficult to understand sentences. The text really needs editorial help. Table 2. The title need changing.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21162

Title: Renal and perinephric abscesses in West China Hospital: 10-year retrospective-descriptive study

Reviewer's code: 02734018

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-04 15:46

Date reviewed: 2015-08-13 04:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript presents interesting data regarding the renal and perirenal abscesses for a 10-year period. However, it needs some revisions in order to optimize all these data and to enable the authors to extract (probably) useful results and conclusions

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21162

Title: Renal and perinephric abscesses in West China Hospital: 10-year retrospective-descriptive study

Reviewer's code: 00506250

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-04 15:46

Date reviewed: 2015-07-08 23:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors retrospectively investigated renal and perinephric abscess in Southwest in China. Paper is well written and includes interesting contents. My minor concerns are as follows: 1. The definition of "clinical improvement" may be more detail described. 2. It may be more informative, if, in Table 3, 4, the data of subgroups in lithiasis and DM is also showed. If possible, please add the data. 3. "imipenem" may be imipenem/cilastatin, SMZ in text should be trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. SMZ in Table 4 should be ST. 4. "K pneumonia" should be "K pneumoniae"