

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22651

Title: Cooling dialysate during in-center hemodialysis: Beneficial and deleterious effects

Reviewer's code: 00503228

Reviewer's country: Iraq

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-09-12 09:06

Date reviewed: 2015-09-12 15:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review of a subject with limited number of published studies, so one expects all the existing data to be cited and reviewed in your paper. Nonetheless some of the existing studies have not been reviewed including those by Rezki et al (PMID: 17679746) and Shahgholian et al. (PMID: 22224109). - While there is a valuable systematic review published in 2006, how much your paper adds to our knowledge. If there is significant data afterwards, an update systematic review would make more sense.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22651

Title: Cooling dialysate during in-center hemodialysis: Beneficial and deleterious effects

Reviewer's code: 00503288

Reviewer's country: Serbia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-09-12 09:06

Date reviewed: 2015-09-20 03:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Conclusion should promote and emphasize your idea, and that, conceptually, sublimates all that in one unit. It is not necessary that, in conclusion, enter further dilemmas, i.e., at this place are not necessary your comments.