

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26917

**Title:** Latin American Dialysis and Transplant Registry: Experience and contributions to end-stage renal disease epidemiology

**Reviewer's code:** 00503339

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-05-03 09:33

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-03 23:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your Manuscript is important to the desire to make the progress in care of kidney failure that has been accomplished in Latin America known to more affluent countries globally. To effect this objective, a section (perhaps a Table) might be constructed citing key aspects of Uremia Therapy and comparing: Rate afflicted per Million, Number per Million on Dialysis (Hemo and Peritoneal), and Number per Million receiving a Kidney Transplant (Deceased and Live Donors). If known, some detail in Annual Survival on Dialysis by type and Transplant by donor type might also be included along with a brief comment on comparison with current Annual Survival rates in Europe and the United States. Considering the great interest in Diabetic Nephropathy, any reliable data as to prevalence and survival by chosen Therapy would also add to the Reader's understanding of the Problems faced by Nephrologists in Latin America. Overall, this contribution may prove a strong stimulus to those attempting to enhance uremia therapy in areas of relative poverty around the globe.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26917

**Title:** Latin American Dialysis and Transplant Registry: Experience and contributions to end-stage renal disease epidemiology

**Reviewer's code:** 00468214

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-05-03 09:33

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-15 21:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, the paper shows very well the effectiveness to set a transplant registry like that, in order to improve patients care and training for nephrologists at the same time; these aspects gain a greater importance in a contest of socioeconomic inequality like the one described very meticulously; I would suggest to add some data concerning European and US transplant registries, where there is a totally different situation for both financial funds availability and health system organization, in order to make some comparisons with the Latino American actuality.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26917

**Title:** Latin American Dialysis and Transplant Registry: Experience and contributions to end-stage renal disease epidemiology

**Reviewer's code:** 00503302

**Reviewer's country:** Thailand

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-05-03 09:33

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-18 13:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This was an extensive review of available data on the prevalence of CKD in the region. There are several typing errors in the manuscript. Please recheck the spellings. In the beginning of the sentence, instead of using "%" or 19.4%, the word "percent" or "Nineteen percent" should be used. The clarity of all the Figures needs improvement.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26917

**Title:** Latin American Dialysis and Transplant Registry: Experience and contributions to end-stage renal disease epidemiology

**Reviewer's code:** 02624393

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-05-03 09:33

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-24 01:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ Y ] Grade A: Excellent	[ Y ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ Y ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ Y ] No	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the excellent work of the Latin America ESRD registry and the present paper.

MINOR COMMENTS: INTRODUCTION: in reference to the European countries that contributed with original immigrant population I found not Italy. GINI index: I think that it corresponds to the name of a person and not to the initials of the Country Incomes Distribution. Therefore may be written as "Gini" ? If it is not the case, please define it before the initials. Please define previously Chronic Kidney Disease, before the initials CKD. RRT in Latin America. Please note that the references 14 and 15, - Mesoamerican nephropathy-, have been changed with the references 12 and 13 (history of RRT in LA). CONTRIBUTION to improvement of Nephrology...: When referring to the SLANH training programmes, perhaps a comment on the grants of the Spanish Society of Nephrology can be added. Table 2. The figures of Brasil population may be wrong (126 ???). The population of Jalisco is referred, but not the full population from Mexico. Is this correct ?