

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

Manuscript NO: 33162

Title: Restless legs syndrome is contributing to fatigue and low quality of life levels in hemodialysis patients

Reviewer's code: 00503255

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors compared QOL, fatigue, sleep quality, daily sleepiness and depression symptoms of HD patients between with and without restless legs syndrome (RLS) and concluded HD patients with RLS are lower QOL and great fatigue compared to HD patients without RLS. The paper is well-written but has some errors to correct.

1. page 10, line 6: "RLS symptoms and before" should be corrected to "RLS symptoms before"
2. page 13, line 9: "RLS symptoms and before" should be corrected to "RLS symptoms before"
3. References: The style of references in your paper is somewhat different from that of this journal. Please check again the instructions for author of this journal and revised all references. For example, No.1 "Kidney Int. 2014: 1275" should be corrected to "Kidney Int. 2014; 85: 1275-1282."

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

Manuscript NO: 33162

Title: Restless legs syndrome is contributing to fatigue and low quality of life levels in hemodialysis patients

Reviewer's code: 00503228

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-10

Date reviewed: 2017-03-15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor English revisions in recommended Methodology: 1; 85 patients from how many in total, participated? 2; How they got participated; have you included all of those who gave consent? 3; It is conventional to say what methods used (ELISA or so), what chips and/or instruments and of what manufacturers have been used 4; You may give units for your measurements (e.g. Hgb mg/dL and so forth) Results 5; You would be needing a table summarizing the patients' demographics, and also compare them between the two groups 6; Patients data better be censored/controlled for the age, gender, time on dialysis, comorbidities, cause of ESRD (especially diabetes and HTN versus GN and other less wide spectrum diseases using multivariable analyses 7; It would be nice if you give Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) for your analyses 8; The questionnaires have important subcategories. Giving only the total score and compare them between the groups would waste the important data you may get from. For example, SF-36 has 8 subcategories each representing a particular aspect of the questionnaire. I recommend



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

you give tables and represent all these subcategories also.