

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Translational Medicine

Ms: 3277

Title: Examining the Relationship Between Physical Fitness and Spiritual Fitness in Cancer Patients

Reviewer code: 00009616

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-04-18 17:48

Date reviewed: 2013-04-21 22:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well conducted study. But the number of subjects studied is few. Performing this study in a larger number of subjects would have been more interesting.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Translational Medicine

Ms: 3277

Title: Examining the Relationship Between Physical Fitness and Spiritual Fitness in Cancer Patients

Reviewer code: 00503667

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-04-18 17:48

Date reviewed: 2013-04-25 15:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although limited case number, this manuscript is interesting and adds valuable information to this field.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Translational Medicine

Ms: 3277

Title: Examining the Relationship Between Physical Fitness and Spiritual Fitness in Cancer Patients

Reviewer code: 00503442

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-04-18 17:48

Date reviewed: 2013-04-25 18:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with great interest the manuscript entitled "Examining the Relationship Between Physical Fitness and Spiritual Fitness in Cancer Patients" by Wonders et al. Although the manuscript is interesting it seems confuse and not easily readable. The main drawback is the limited series of patients involved in the study. Additionally, the Authors conclusions are incongruent and too descriptive when compared with the experimental procedure. The Authors did not report any conclusion in the Abstract Section. The materials and methods are incomplete and lack of important details. Moreover, the Authors should follow the Journal guidelines. All of the References should be formatted according to the Instructions for Authors. In conclusion, the manuscript is unsuitable to be published in its present form.