

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10462

**Title:** Dyspepsia and coeliac disease.

**Reviewer code:** 00034616

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-02 17:55

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-23 03:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The image is not adding much, please remove; a comprehensive, although on occasions difficult to follow, review.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10462

**Title:** Dyspepsia and coeliac disease.

**Reviewer code:** 02444990

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-02 17:55

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-01 04:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for work, well written. Should be modified the 4-5, 17-19 and 23-26 line of the Introduction because equal to those of the Abstract The images are poor of quality: if possible, replace them and if available add pictures of the new endoscopic techniques proposed to increase the specificity of biopsy sampling: such as "water immersion" and "confocal endomicroscopy" If possible add Villanacci's classification for the staging of coeliac disease because different centers use it more and more advantage To date, there is a burgeoning literature about gluten sensitivity, it may be interesting to mention this problem