

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16777

**Title:** Costimulatory blockade: a novel approach to the treatment of glomerular disease?

**Reviewer's code:** 00646465

**Reviewer's country:** Brunei Darussalam

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-29 16:50

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-04 10:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors of this manuscript critically discussed the new therapeutic opportunities provided by the use of agents acting on the modulation of costimulatory pathways. This manuscript requires minor revision as follows: 1.The author needs to specify the source of information listed in Table 1(is there source a website or text book?). 2.The author needs to add a reference in page4 (highlighted in yellow color in the attached manuscript). 3.One sentence in page 7 needs re-writing as it is not clear to the reader(highlighted in yellow color in the attached manuscript). 4.In page 8 the authors needs to specify who approved the use of the drug Abatacept. Was it approved by FDA/USA?? 5.In page 8, the authors needs to re-write one sentence as it is not clear to the reader(highlighted in yellow color) 6.In page 9, the authors needs to re-write one sentence as suggested in the attached manuscript.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16777

**Title:** Costimulatory blockade: a novel approach to the treatment of glomerular disease?

**Reviewer's code:** 02508219

**Reviewer's country:** Egypt

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-29 16:50

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-06 15:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of the present review is interesting as a recent research point. The authors could cite 43 relevant references to make the work as quite complete as possible. However, the deep discussion and presenting a rationale of the previous results, including a clear emphasis of the present and/or lacking knowledge of underlying molecular mechanisms in glomerulonephritis and other conditions, were obviously lacking.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16777

**Title:** Costimulatory blockade: a novel approach to the treatment of glomerular disease?

**Reviewer's code:** 02512137

**Reviewer's country:** Serbia

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-29 16:50

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-26 16:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read and review the manuscript entitled " Costimulatory blockade: a novel approach to the treatment of glomerular disease?" This paper is very attractive and useful and my opinion is to accept them without changes.