

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18144

Title: Biomarkers of oxidative stress in erythrocytes as a function of human age

Reviewer's code: 02445326

Reviewer's country: Tunisia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-04-09 23:01

Date reviewed: 2015-06-15 19:22

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | [Y] No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | [Y] No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This mini-review is interesting. It can be improved taking into account the following remarks: Title: please add ? in erythrocytes ? The abstract is not well structured (aims, method, literature data and conclusion). The review method is not specified. Most of citations are old, there are few recent references.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18144

Title: Biomarkers of oxidative stress in erythrocytes as a function of human age

Reviewer's code: 00503474

Reviewer's country: Saudi Arabia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-04-09 23:01

Date reviewed: 2015-04-20 19:37

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Journal: World Journal of Methodology Title: Biomarkers of oxidative stress as a function of human age Manuscript category: Review article Overall publication value of the article:

1. Is the subject an important one?: Yes 2. Does the article possess scientific practical and educational value?: Yes 3. Is the paper scientifically accurate? : Yes 4. Does the paper fit the scope of the journal? Ye 5. Is the title and abstract informative and do they give a clear idea of what to expect from the paper? Yes 6. Does the title bring the main massage of the study?: Yes 7. Is the abstract presented in structured form? Yes in respect being a review article. 8. Does the abstract give an adequate picture of the entire article? Yes 9. Is the background of the study made clear and helpful to readers unfamiliar with the subject? Yes 10. Is the purpose of the article clearly stated?: Yes 11. Is the interpretation of the article clearly presented and adequately supported by the evidence adduced?: Yes 12. Are the conclusions logically valid and justified by the evidence adduced?: Yes 13. Are all the tables adequate and necessary?: Yes 14. Is the reference section adequate or is it too long and should it be cut down? No 15. Are the references up-to-date and appropriate? No . Most of the references are old in nineties and one reference in 2013 . There must be



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

a cover from last 5 years to show what is new in the literature. 16. Have the most important previous studies been cited?: No 17. Are the technical terms/compound names spelt correctly? Yes 18. Do the language, grammar and punctuation need significant improvement? No 19. Does the paper leave any question in this particular field unanswered? Does it go beyond data summary and provide a wider perspective and insight into the topic? No 20. Do the authors express their opinion or just they simply produce standard review of the field?: Just a standard review 21. Do you feel that the disclosure statement within the manuscript is fair and sufficient? Yes 22. Is there any conflict of interest?: No