

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16824

**Title:** FOXA2 and Th2-mediated pulmonary inflammation

**Reviewer's code:** 02511796

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-30 19:18

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-24 11:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for submitting a review following an invitation from editorial team. The topic is focused and highly specialized. Title: concise Abstract: 1) define TCF3b. Some sentence construction mistakes (e.g '...early development, following during...' could be worded better); 2) Foxa2 should it be all capital?; 3) what is the node? primitive node (Hansens node)?; Intro: 1) general grammar and sentence construction; 2) Diagrams are good; Structure of FOXA2: Minor language Role of FOXA2: Good flow. Minor language. Role of FOXA2 in Th2...: 1) define terms eg 'OVA, BALF, etc'; 2) Correct FOXA2/Foxa2 - is it all capital? 3) Minor language Mechanism of Foxa2...: 1) good flow; 2) Minor language Regulation of Foxa2...: 1) abbreviations need to be defined 2) minor language Conclusion: Good flow. minor language polishing. Overall a concise and informative review into this specialized area. To many abbreviations - it would be good to have a section labelled 'Abbreviations' and provide all the definitions here. With minor amendments and language polishing it would be high on publication agenda.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16824

**Title:** FOXA2 and Th2-mediated pulmonary inflammation

**Reviewer's code:** 02520738

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-30 19:18

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-31 18:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To: Lian-Sheng Ma President and Company Editor-in-Chief World Journal of Methodology Title: "FOXA2 and Th2-mediated pulmonary inflammation" Dear Editor, We have read through the manuscript and we think that several limitations could be outlined: 1) Please discuss the paper from Ciccone MM et al. Molecules 2014;19:1651-1662 and Ciccone MM et al. Molecules. 2013;18(12):15314-28. 2) Please introduce a table gathering the main results and findings from literature about the subject of the manuscript. 3) Please define acronyms in the figure 2.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16824

**Title:** FOXA2 and Th2-mediated pulmonary inflammation

**Reviewer's code:** 02446383

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-30 19:18

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-02 12:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors summarized the role of Foxa2 in lung development, Th2-mediated pulmonary inflammation, as well as in goblet cell hyperplasia according to the literature reviews in this review manuscript. The paper is relatively well-written, but needs to have minor language polishing, particularly in grammar part. Recommend the authors revising the paper based on the following considerations. 1. Emphasize clinical significance/relevance of FOXA2's role 2. What is the value of this review paper for translational medicine research on FOXA2? OR 3. How would this review paper help with translational medicine in this field if it was published?