



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20808

Title: Role of Positron Emission Tomography - Computed Tomography in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

Reviewer's code: 02495864

Reviewer's country: Sweden

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-23 17:31

Date reviewed: 2015-06-28 02:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the present paper, Garg et al briefly summarize the current knowledge on the role of PET/CT in the management of NSCLC. The paper is well written. However, I think that the review would be more appealing to your readership if the Au would address even the following issues: 1. The role of PET/CT in the follow up of patients with NSCLC treated with stereotactic radiotherapy to the thorax 2. The role of other PET tracers, such as F-MISO, FLT, Choline. Page 6. "Undoubtedly, lymph node (N) status is the most important prognostic variable in lung cancer". Do the Au probably mean in "early stage and locally advanced lung cancer"?



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20808

Title: Role of Positron Emission Tomography - Computed Tomography in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

Reviewer's code: 00608206

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-23 17:31

Date reviewed: 2015-06-30 20:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS: GENERAL COMMENTS Review article discusses the role of PET / CT in the management of non-small cell lung cancer. The review examines a topical issue and is properly written and easy to understand. The structure is correct and summarizes current knowledge on the subject. References: 11/32 (34%) of the last five years References: Acceptably updated. The structure of the article is correct. There are no ethical problems. MINOR COMMENTS See minimal comments on the attachment. SPECIFIC COMMENTS: TITLE: Correct. Specific, it adequately contains the primary endpoint. (Words: 13). ABSTRACT: Summarizes the content of the review. Key words: Lung neoplasms; Positron emission tomography; Diagnostic Imaging; Neoplasm staging; Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung The DIFFERENT SECTIONS are clear and well structured. REFERENCES: 11/32 (34%) of the last five years References: Acceptably updated.