

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22397

**Title:** CE-HPLC for variant hemoglobins and HbF/A2: What must hematopathologists know about methodology?

**Reviewer's code:** 00070055

**Reviewer's country:** Malaysia

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-01 08:58

**Date reviewed:** 2015-09-04 11:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very informative paper on data interpretation. Detail explanation on the possible findings are given. Nevertheless, I find it difficult to relate the explanation to the figures that are given, it will be good if each of the points given for peak interpretation is accompanied by a figure for easy understanding. I cannot locate window P1/P2 in the figure as it has not been labeled. What is the significant of the peak to each of the condition stated by the authors, the observation is made in how many patients, N = ?, all these information will increase the confidence of data interpretation.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22397

**Title:** CE-HPLC for variant hemoglobins and HbF/A2: What must hematopathologists know about methodology?

**Reviewer's code:** 00070422

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-01 08:58

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-26 08:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

OK