

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 27464

**Title:** Radiological clinical trials: Proposal of a problem-solving approach to improve study success

**Reviewer's code:** 02441737

**Reviewer's country:** Mexico

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-06-02 19:41

**Date reviewed:** 2016-06-28 01:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the manuscript entitled: Radiological Clinical Trials: proposal of a problem-solving approach to improve study success. By Francesca Valdora et al. This is an interesting study because it was undertaken in Genoa, Italy, to develop a survey helping how to define the main problems in radiological clinical trials. Comments Introduction Improve the wording, especially the syntax. Methodology: 1. In addition to describe the number of subjects they included in the study, It is important that the authors describe the number of subjects who refused to participate, the number of data that had to be dropped from the sample because of missing information. 2. Also to describe, the reasons why the authors did not make a selection of hospitals from different socioeconomic strata. 3. Mention if normality tests were conducted to quantitative variables. Results 1. Complete the title of Table 1, write down the name of the City where the study was conducted. If it is possible remove the word "our". 2. In Table 1, type the standard deviation of the mean value among respondents. Discussion 1. It would be interesting if the authors discuss the limitations in the development of clinical trials in the area of radiology, are different when comparing the work carried out in



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

developing and in-development Countries? 2. Mentions possible differences in difficulties or problems in radiology clinical trials, made in the present compared to those developed in the past?

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 27464

**Title:** Radiological clinical trials: Proposal of a problem-solving approach to improve study success

**Reviewer's code:** 00068723

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-06-02 19:41

**Date reviewed:** 2016-06-24 03:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigated problems that researches faced when they conducted clinical trials. This work made clear the issues to solve to improve the efficiency of clinical research. It is rationale that the researchers did not have enough time to spend because their main focus was daily clinical practice. The conclusion would be applicable to the other fields. If there is any issues specific to imaging, it would add more values to this manuscript to discuss the points. Problems dealing imaging data should be discussed in Introduction in detail.