

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**Manuscript NO:** 33042

**Title:** Accuracy of crescent sign on ocular ultrasound in diagnosing papilledema

**Reviewer's code:** 00505209

**Reviewer's country:** Poland

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-08

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I consider this study to have valuable data that would be of interest if published. However ultrasound might be a useful examination tool for papilledema diagnosis, in my opinion it should be always followed by MRI if there is a crescent sign and this conclusion should be added in your manuscript.