

Dear editor and reviewer

Re: Manuscript ID: 69792 and Title: Difference and Similarity and Connection between Type A Interrupted Aortic Arch and Aortic Coarctation in Adults: Two Case Reports

Thank you for your letter and the reviewer's comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Difference and Similarity and Connection between Type A Interrupted Aortic Arch and Aortic Coarctation in Adults: Two Case Reports". The comments are valuable and very helpful. We have read through comments carefully and have made corrections. Based on the instructions provided in your letter, we uploaded the file of the revised manuscript. Revisions in the text are shown using red highlights for additions. The responses to the reviewer's comments are marked in red and presented following.

We would love to thank you for allowing us to resubmit a revised copy of the manuscript and we highly appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely.

Xiao-dong Wang

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

Q1. Please, inform of present illness, that we know the patients have no other symptoms. The first woman in case 1 indicated hypertension for 8 years. The second patient in case 2 with a history of hypertension for 30 years.

Response: We appreciate it very much for this good suggestion. According to your ideas, we have added more details of present illness and past illness (Page 3-4 of Revised Manuscript) with red highlights.

Q2. Please, add information which laboratory tests (morphology, ionogram, urea, creatinine, glucose, cholesterol, ALT, AST, proBNP, CKMB, TSH) performed in patients?

Response: We are grateful for the suggestion. As suggested by the reviewer, the details of the laboratory tests have been added to the "Laboratory examinations" section (Page 4 of Revised Manuscript). The laboratory indicators performed by the patients are mentioned in this paper.

Q3. Were the ECG terminated in the described patients, if so, was the result correct, were there any changes, what?

Response: We are grateful to the reviewer for the helpful suggestion. We believed that the reviewer raised a question about results of the ECG assessment, so the ECG results of two patients were supplemented (Page 4-5 of Revised Manuscript).

Q4. Was the abdominal ultrasound with Doppler of the flow through the vessels in the abdominal cavity assessed?

Response: We are very sorry for our negligence of the ultrasound. Color Doppler echocardiography was performed in case 1 and case 2. The related supplements were shown on page 4-5 with red highlights.