

Dear Dr./Prof. editors,

Thank you very much for your decision letter and advice on our manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 71186) entitled “Two rare case reports of bronchiolar adenoma with unusual presentation”. We also thank the reviewers for the constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript accordingly, and all amendments are indicated by red font in the revised manuscript. In addition, our point-by-point responses to the comments are listed below this letter.

This revised manuscript has been edited and proofread by *Medjaden Inc.*.

We hope that our revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication in your journal and look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Ying Du

First of all, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their constructive and positive comments.

### **Replies to Reviewer 1**

Title Has 9 words. It include the area of focus of the work but the words case report were absents 6 Key words were presented Authors names are correct. Orcid numbers were provided Correspondent autor was expressed Supported foundation was not declared Content of the main text: Abstract, key words, core tip, introduction, case presentation Abstract: An structured Abstract of 249 words Introduction Describes a special type of tumor that was added to the 2020 WHO New Classification of lung Tumors. It is an entity of low incidence. Bronchiolar Adenoma is a benign tumor that appear in the bronchiolar epithelium having an interesting feature that is the expression of driver mutation found in lung cancer. It is important to distinguish bronchiolar adenoma from lepidic adenocarcinoma or adenocarcinoma in situ based on its morphology. That is the rationale for the study. Authors wrote in detailed form the description of the key points for writing each case report in the correct form. They described all the techincs employed: hematoxylin–eosin (HE) staining, immunohistochemistry analyses and genetic testing. Patients characteristics are described properly in each case presentation. They emphasized in some limitations: some histologics characteristics are not easy to observe in intraoperatively frozen sections, another is that in ground glass lung nodule peripherically situated emerge the probability of differentiation between Bronchiolar adenoma and minimally invasive adenocarcinoma Tables and figures appeared in order of presentation in the main text with the correspondent legend References are properly cited. Only 20 references in total All references are relevants for the article and indexed in PubMed A recommendation: IT should be important for clinicians lectors to know about the evolution of this two patients.

**Response:** Thank you for your insightful suggestion. We have revised accordingly.

### **Replies to Reviewer 2**

This manuscript proposes two cases report about unusual presentation of bronchiolar adenoma, please support this with literature or evidence. I consider that the paper is publishable in the World Journal of Clinical Cases after a minor revision, especially in discussion part. I am pleased to suggest this manuscript for publication in the journal if the above suggestions are incorporated and the paper is thoroughly edited. In addition, I made some suggestions in order to correct or incorporate in the manuscript: 1. The manuscript must follow the journal's publication guidelines. Please review. 2. Section “Discussion”, first paragraph There are other studies in the literature that include a larger number of cases.

**Response:** Thank you for your insightful suggestion. We have revised accordingly.