

February 14 2022

Jin-Lei Wang
Associate Editor
World Journal of Clinical Cases

RE: Resubmission of manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 71409, Case Report)

Dear Dr. Wang:

Thank you very much for your decision letter and advice on our manuscript. We also thank the reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised our manuscript accordingly, and I would like to resubmit it for further consideration for publication. All amendments are indicated with red font in the revised manuscript and our point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments are listed below this letter.

Thank you again and I hope that our revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Best wishes,

Dr. Lin Sen
Department of Otolaryngology
The Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University
Wenzhou, Zhejiang, 325000
China

Our responses to the reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments

This is an interesting rare case report. This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. I have no question about this manuscript.

Thank you for your appreciation of this article.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments

1. The language needs improvement. There are many grammar and spelling errors. Refer to the attached file for correction.

We fully understand the reviewer's concerns. It does have some problems with language writing. This revised manuscript has been edited and proofread by Medjaden Inc. (Hong Kong, China).

2. Timeline of this report is not organized in a table or figure form. Table 1 doesn't fit well in describing the timeline clearly.

We fully appreciate the reviewer's concerns. Considering that the time report of the case has not been sorted out in this paper, the onset time of the case and the side of the affected ear have been added in Table 1.

3. For case 2 and 3: All figure sections are not cited in text.

We are very sorry for putting the charts for case 2 and case 3 at the bottom, so that you did not find it. We have marked the figure for the all cases.

4. For case 3: It seems that figures are wrongly cited at some places.

We are very sorry for these issues and corrected them in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #3:

Specific Comments

It is interesting to read this paper. The title and the keywords are well chosen. The three cases reported were good enough to illustrate the key findings. In physical examinations of the patients, the ENT exams were well described. However, it would be deficient that the authors did not mention the examination findings of the other cranial nerves in some cases. The facial nerve and the vestibular nerve examination were essential to be examined.

We fully appreciate the reviewer's concerns. I have completed neurological examinations for three cases. Case 3 had facial paralysis. I have examined and described the degree of facial paralysis in this case. The other two cases did not have facial paralysis. As for vestibular function examination, three patients were in the acute stage of cerebral infarction at that time, and this examination was not performed, which is the deficiency of this paper. Vestibular function examination will be followed up.