

Answering Reviewers

Dear Editor:,

we would like to say thank you to the reviewers for the useful comments to improve the paper. We have addressed all the comments as explained below.

Part A(REVIEWER 1)

1. The reviewer's ment: The article consists of several incomplete sentences and lack of fluency in the language.

The authoes' Answer: We have fixed all of them and proofread the paper to eliminate all such errors.

2. The reviewer's ment: The conclusion should be more concise, self-explanatory, and drawn on the basis of study reports.

The authoes' Answer: We have addressed this comment by deleting a few lines.

Part B(Reviewer #2)

1. The reviewer's ment: Strong and diffuse S100 expression in Ewing sarcoma is very rare I strongly suggest to provide results of the molecular analysis.

The authoes' Answer: Thanks for your advice, which is very important. Thanks to your advice, I have found deficiencies in my current work. I will improve my scientific research level and make more achievements in the future work according to your advice.

The conclusion of the article and the remaining parts that need to be modified have been modified according to your requirements. Please inform us of any improper parts so that we can correct them.

Thank you and all the reviewers for the king advice.

Sincerely yours!