Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewer's comments concerning our manuscript entitled "High-frame-rate contrast-enhanced ultrasound findings of liver metastasis of duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumor: a case report and literature review" (Manuscript ID: 74951). Those comments are valuable and very helpful. We have read through comments carefully and have made corrections. The response to the reviewer's comments are marked in red and presented following.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear authors This manuscript is very interesting; however, it requires some minor editing. If it is available, please add video of imaging, it will be very demonstrative.

Response: We are grateful for the suggestion. As for editing problem, we have already chosen the Editage language editing companies you recommended to polish the manuscript again for the revision manuscript. To be more clearly demonstrate the H-CEUS perfusion process, we have already add video of imaging of metastatic liver cancer of duodenal GIST's arterial phase(30s), which is corresponding to the Figure 2.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Very poor literature review content. It should be improved in the revised manuscript. The current manuscript's literature review does not consist of it. Even if English polishing certificate, however, some paragraph still need to check it.

Response: We deeply appreciate the reviewer's suggestion. According to the reviewer's comment, we have added the description of literature review from ninth lines third paragraph in discussion. We retrived the CEUS patterns of metastatic liver cancers of different primary organs, summarized their clinical information, conventional ultrasound, and CEUS patterns, consist of 4 cases and 6 clinical research. This section is indeed a deficiency in the previous manuscript, and I am very grateful to the experts for their correction. As for language problems, we have already chosen the Editage language editing companies you recommended to polish the manuscript again for the revision manuscript. We sincerely hope that the language of this revised manuscript would meet the requirements of *World journal of clinical cases*.

We would love to thank you for allowing us to resubumit a revised copy of the manuscript and we highly appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely.

Jia-hui Chen