

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

Thank you very much for your patient review and valuable comments. We have studied and responded to the corresponding questions of reviewers and Science editor, and revised and supplemented the manuscript at the same time following these kind suggestions. We have resubmitted an updated version of the manuscript, we hope this manuscript will be further considered.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Very interesting and rare case. The authors should show the abnormal findings (intestinal thickening, tumor, lymph node metastasis) in the abdomen CT (Figure 2) using arrows, to make easier to localize them by the readers. The same comment is applicable to other figures with radiological studies. Please use arrows to indicate the described lesions. Why the patient did not receive any therapy after surgical intervention? Did the patient have any CT study after operation before 12 months when the patient started complaining again? Did the patient receive any chemotherapy during follow-up?

Response: Thank you very much for your patient review and professional question. 1) We have added arrows within these figures for easy positioning. 2) The patient, a 16-year-old high school student, recovered well after surgical intervention and showed no signs of recurrence or metastasis; the patient's parents did not consent to further adjuvant treatment and the patient continued to attend school. 3) Patients did not receive chemotherapy during the follow-up period. 4) The patient did not have any CT study or other imaging studies after operation before 12 months when the patient started complaining again. 5) The patient was asymptomatic at the time of telephone follow-up during this period and therefore did not come to our hospital for CT study, further illustrating the importance of regular postoperative imaging follow-up.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors show the case of clear cell tumor occurred after the surgery. The case is interesting, however I have some concerns. 1. What is the novelty of the current study? 2. Please make introduction more enrich.

Response: Thank you very much for your valuable comments and the kind reminder.

- 1) This is a case of an adolescent CCSLGT patient with a short course and rapidly progressive disease who underwent an extended surgical resection and still developed multiple metastases in vivo with lymph node metastases and hematogenous metastases, with a survival period of no more than 5 years. Close, long-term follow-up is needed after CCSLGT treatment, and whole-body imaging, such as PET/CT, should be performed, which provides anatomic functional metabolic information beyond imaging, effectively reducing missed lesions.
- 2) We have enriched on the introduction.

(1) Science editor:

Nicely written report. However there are a number of concerns that might be considered by the authors. 1) in the title, adolescents: make it singular. 2) a rare case whose presentation, diagnosis and mode of treatment have already been described: I truly believe that authors can easily find something that can be used for originality. 3) Conclusion section both in the abstract and the body of the text do not actually conclude the purpose of presentation. That is what is it that authors want to highlight beyond nice figures (different X ray studies). 4) Authors might want to limit the number of images (figures). 5) Authors might want to use arrows to identify specific areas indicated in the footnote.

Response: We thank the Science editor for these kind reminders. We have revised the title and summarized the conclusions in the abstract and body of the text. The specific areas of images have been identified using arrows. The number of images does seem a bit excessive as we wanted to provide a visual representation of the patient's progress and prognosis. We are willing to make changes if you think they need to be limited.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: Thank you very much! We have prepared the original figure documents to upload to the system. And we have made a uniform presentation of figures that show the same or similar contents.