

75093

Immunotherapy combined with antiangiogenic agents in patients with advanced malignant pleural mesothelioma: A case report and literature review

Dear editor,

Thanks for your letter and advice. We have carefully reviewed the comments and have revised the manuscript accordingly. We appreciate the comments from the reviewers. We provided the responses in a point-by-point manner below. We hope that the revised version of the manuscript is now acceptable for publication.

Yours sincerely,

Lin-Li Qu

Department of Medical Oncology, Qilu Hospital (Qingdao),
Shandong University, Qingdao 266035, Shandong Province, China

point-by-point responses:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: I congratulate the authors for attempting to publish this case. The article needs improvement in several aspects.

- Line 8 in introduction should be written as MPM has poor prognosis.

Response: We corrected it in the revised manuscript.

- Line 9-13 introduction- grammar errors

Response: We corrected it in the revised manuscript, which was polished by a professional English language editing company.

- There are many grammar errors in the entire manuscript, which need to be addressed.

Response: The revised manuscript was polished by a professional English language editing company.

- Presentation and physical examination findings of the patient should be elaborated. History of asbestosis should be mentioned.

Response: We added further information in the revised manuscript.

- In the pathological diagnosis mention the microscopic features before IHC

Response: We added further information in the revised manuscript.

- Add doi and PMID for the references.

Response: We added it in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: thank you for inviting me to case report.

please consider more medical terminology. please describe the physical examination and past medical history

Response: We added further information in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

comment 1: the authors did a great job presenting an interesting case and its management.

Response: Thanks.

comment 2: several grammar and language modifications are needed.

Response: The revised manuscript was polished by a professional English language editing company.

comment 3: please adhere to the journal guidelines in writing your manuscript.

Response: We corrected it in the revised manuscript.