

Answering reviewers

World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: **76353**

Manuscript Title: **A Mini Review on the Assessment Tools for the Differential Diagnosis of Neglect: Focusing on Egocentric Neglect and Allocentric Neglect**

Running Title: **Assessment Tools for Neglect**

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Rejection

Specific Comments to Authors: The author needs to rewrite the "results" section, and the most basic writing rules are not yet in place.

[Answer: Thank you very much. We revised the "results" section and the overall writing.](#)

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting and well-written mini review with comprehensive details of the assessment tools that can perform a differential diagnosis of egocentric neglect and allocentric neglect.

[Answer: Thank you very much](#)

However, the manuscript is too long, particularly the conclusion part. Also, authors should introduce a discussion focusing on the practice value of the review (for example, how this study would help on the differential diagnosis in clinical practice.

[Answer: Thank you for your comments. Therefore, we revised the overall writing and rewritten the conclusion. \(Please refer to the revised manuscript as the revision is too long.\)](#)