

Dear editor:

Thank you for your useful comments and suggestions on the content of our manuscript. We have modified the manuscript accordingly, and detailed corrections are listed below point by point, the main points of revision are as follows:

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: I suggest they write a note of how long after the EVAR treatment (immediately or a few days later?) CEA was done? I did not see it in the article. "We did not try to aspirate the thrombus through catheter. Instead, we decided to perform CEA to remove the carotid stent and thrombus thoroughly. During CEA procedure, we can see the stent was occluded by the plaque debris (Figure 3). "

Response: Thank you for your comments on our manuscript. We performed the CEA procedure immediately when we found the thrombus formation in the stent. We have illustrated this in the manuscript with red text.

(1) Science editor:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the acute carotid stent thrombosis. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This manuscript is good.

The authors should write a note of how long after the EVAR treatment (immediately or a few days later?) CEA was done The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 2 tables and 5 figures; (4) References: A total of 31 references are cited, including 5 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper

references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by AME was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (3) The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance. Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing) Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Response: Thank you for your comments on our manuscript. We have added the "Author Contributions" section in title page. We will provide the original pictures when we submit the revised files. We have provided the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. We have re-written the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend:
<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

Response: Thank you for your comments on our manuscript. We have provided standard three-line tables. We have provided an English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company.