

Dear editor,

Thanks for your reply and consideration. We addressed most of the issues raised by the reviewers, supplemented with additional case data, and revised some language. However, since the imaging data of the enhanced CT part has not been studied, it is difficult to explain. In addition, in the table section, we have added some imaging or histological features of the pooled cases. It is worth noting that in Ryu's article, there is a case in the third row of the table (Zen's case), which is in fact the same patient, so it is explained at Ryu's.

Yours sincerely,  
Guo-Lin He