

## **Responses to the reviewer's comments**

**Manuscript NO.:** 77803, Case Report

**Drug-induced lung injury caused by acetaminophen in a Japanese woman: A case report** Masayoshi Fujii, MD, Tsuneaki Kenzaka, MD, PhD

*World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This is an interesting clinical case, which is very rare, suggested by the authors. Although the case didn't seem to be very complicated, the diagnosis and/or differential diagnosis is pretty challenge. The coverage/content of the manuscript is about the right length, considering this is a case report. The literature is also sufficient to support their findings and discussion. Only one point should be clarified, i.e. why there was necessity to have CT scan in the first place, because it was very minor injury at the beginning from clinician point of view? please justify it.

1. Only one point should be clarified, i.e. why there was necessity to have CT scan in the first place, because it was very minor injury at the beginning from clinician point of view? please justify it.

**RESPONSE:** We would like to thank Reviewer 1 for your time and efforts in reviewing our manuscript and for providing comments, which have considerably helped us improve our manuscript. We have made revisions based on your comments and have provided our point-by-point responses below. We hope that our responses and revisions appropriately address your comments.

The right axillary lymph node was palpable on physical examination; hence, thoracoabdominal computed tomography was performed for deep lymph node evaluation.

We have included this statement in the main manuscript under the *Imaging Examinations* section:

“Physical examination revealed a palpable right axillary lymph node; therefore, thoracoabdominal computed tomography (CT) was performed for deep lymph node evaluation.”

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This case report has novel topic selection, complete data, good content and reference significance. Basic rules for writing, logical discussion, agreed to publish.

**RESPONSE :** We would like to thank Reviewer 2 for your time and efforts in reviewing our manuscript and for providing comments, which have considerably helped us improve our manuscript. We are grateful for your positive feedback.

**1) Science editor:**

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

**RESPONSE:** We would like to thank the Science editor for your time and efforts in reviewing our manuscript and for providing comments, which have considerably helped us improve our manuscript. We are grateful for your positive feedback

**2) Company editor-in-chief:**

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is

'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

the Editorial Office's comments

1. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents.

**RESPONSE :** Thank you for pointing this out. We have ensured that the figure labels are in line with journal guidelines. Additionally, we have provided the original figure documents in PPT file format.

2. If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

**RESPONSE :** Thank you for pointing this out. We have included the copyright statement in the PPT file.