

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in curing a young man post-operative of the modified Fontan operation: a case report" (ID: 77009). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as following:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. Response to comment: (5. The information of case report was demonstrated, However, in physical examination part, **I suggested the authors to give more detail EX: vital signs or heart and lungs examination.**)

**Response:** Special thanks to you for your good comments. **We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer's suggestion.**

2. Response to comment: (8. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important, and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. **However, some of references were incorrect style for this journal.**)

**Response:** Special thanks to you for your good comments. **We are very sorry for our negligence of incorrect style of references, we have made correction according to the Reviewer's comments.**

Reviewer #2:

1. Response to comment: (However, the article cannot be accepted in its current state as it is unstructured. **It should follow a standard structure like the following: Introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion and conclusions.**)

**Response:** Special thanks to you for your good comments. It is really true as Reviewer suggested that the former manuscript state is unstructured. **We**

have re-written this article according to the Reviewer's suggestion.

Reviewer #3:

1. Response to comment (I congratulate the authors for their efforts to manage the case in a professional way with a scientific approach, Manuscript can be accepted for publication with few revisions)

**Response:** Special thanks to you for your good comments.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Yours sincerely,

Jing-Yuan Liu

Corresponding author:

Name: Jing-Yuan Liu

E-mail: dtyyicu@ccmu.edu.cn