

Response to Reviewer

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: - The keywords are recommended to be selected according to the related MeSH Terms in order to increase visibility. Also, remove "and" from the keywords. - Since the patients have recently been discharged and probably no follow-up session has been held yet, a brief description of the future follow-up plan could complete the "Outcome and follow-up" sections. - What were the limitations in the approach to these cases? - What are the authors' recommendations for practice and future research in the field? The closing sentences of the discussion could provide further suggestions. - The "Core Tip" in the manuscript file is different from the one submitted online. - Please note that negative family history should be defined as "no history of familial diseases" or other similar sentences, rather than "no family history".

Response: Thank you so much for the comments, we have checked and supplemented all the problems you provided in the cases.

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript reported two cases of epibulbar osseous choristoma, however, I think patients data of these two cases are not sufficient, and the description of the treatment process is relatively simple. The analysis of these two cases is not described enough in the discussion part. What does the author want to express through these two cases, or I can't find the basis for the author's conclusion?

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Response: Thank you so much for the comments, we have checked and supplemented all the problems you provided in the cases. We added surgical details and discussions.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by the English language editing company. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange

the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: Thank you so much for the comments, we have checked and supplemented all the problems you provided in the cases. We have modified the language and obtained proof.