

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69981

Title: Mycoplasma hominis meningitis after operative neurosurgery: A case report and literature review.

Reviewer's code: 02446627

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACP, MD, MPhil

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-26 01:28

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-26 02:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nice case. See some edits in the attached file. Need some grammar correction and language polishing Also need to explain in detail why one felt that Levofloxacin did not worked, maybe it was the expected duraation of improvement

Thank you to the reviewer for corrections of grammatical errors in the article, which we sincerely accept.

The patient was treated with levofloxacin for 5 days, but the patient's temperature remained at 38°C-39°C for the duration of treatment, and there was no significant decrease in leukocytes or neutrophils. Therefore, we hypothesized that there were two possibilities—the pathogen was not *Mycoplasma hominis* or the pathogen was *M. hominis* but resistant to levofloxacin. In the end, we chose to trust the mNGS results and changed the antibiotics to doxycycline and moxifloxacin. On the second day of combination therapy, the patient's body temperature gradually decreased, and Chinese scholars found that the drug resistance rate of *M. hominis* to levofloxacin was approximately 23.08%. So, we're more confident that levofloxacin did not work.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69981

Title: Mycoplasma hominis meningitis after operative neurosurgery: A case report and literature review.

Reviewer's code: 05466208

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Reviewer_Country

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-26 19:54

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-27 09:10

Review time: 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

comment 1: The manuscript abstract is beyond journal word limit comment 2: The case presentation outline is not done according to the journal guidelines comment 3: References are not organized, and some references are not related to what is referred to (reference 21). comment 4: Grammar and text revision should be done.

Thank you for the comments, and we completely agree with the suggestions, which might be of great help in improving the quality of our manuscript.

1. The manuscript abstract has been reduced in word count according to journal requirements.
2. The case statement outline has been revised according to comments in the journal guide.
3. References have been organized, and irrelevant references have been removed (reference 21).
4. The biomedical editing company has been asked again for grammatical and textual revisions.