

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit a revised draft of my manuscript titled “A Concise Review on Short Bowel Syndrome” to World Journal of Clinical Cases. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to providing your valuable feedback on my manuscript. I am grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments on my manuscript. I have been able to incorporate changes to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewers.

Response to Comments as follows:

1. There is not an adequately clear explanation of the sequence of events that may cause the development of SBS, the mechanisms of intestinal adaptation, and nutrients' role in it, as it is central to the second part of the paper. -- Edited as requested.
2. Section “Intestinal adaptation” is a bit too brief – please expand. --Edited as requested
3. Also, there is not an appropriate definition of intestinal failure and, furthermore—Edited as requested
4. While I appreciated the authors' emphasis on the role of personalized treatment, I find the individualized approach should be described with more clarity. I found table 3 very useful in this regard but, to better emphasize nutrients' role in helping patients' weaning from Parenteral Nutrition, the individualized approach in every phase of SBS natural history should be described. --Edited as requested
5. The role of intestinal transplantation in these patients is treated too superficially. A description of transplanted SBS rates or rates of post-transplantation survival in these patients should be added for accuracy and completeness. -- Edited as requested
6. “Intestinal Transplantation” section would benefit from quoting recent survival figures post-transplant.- Edited as much we can
7. Due to the narrative methodology of the review, I suggest adding it in the title and adding immediately that it only refers to the adult population. - I would like to leave this to the editor to change in whatever the way they feel appropriate

8. Section “Etiology and Pathophysiology” would benefit from including information about groups 1-3 of SBS. --Editing done.

9. Table 2 seems out of synch with the text introducing it -- Location changed as requested

10. I would recommend changing section header “Right Food Is the Medicine: Insight into Nutritional Management” to just “Insight into Nutritional Management” --Edited as requested.

11. Conclusion: I would suggest using the phrase nutrition instead of food. --Edited

12. Also would suggest revising sentence “Intestinal transplantation is recommended for those in which conservative management fails” from “recommended” to “could be considered”. -- Edited as requested.

13. Minor: - In introduction: most patients require parenteral nutrition (PN) but only rarely total PN (TPN), please revise - Aims: please change “food” to “nutrition”. --Edited as requested.

14. Moreover, remove exclamation point from the last sentence.--Edited as requested.

In addition to the above comments, all spelling and grammatical errors pointed out by the reviewers have been corrected. We look forward to hearing from you in due time regarding our submission and to respond to any further questions and comments you may have.

Sincerely,

Saraswathi Lakkasani,

08/11/2022.