

**From:** jianglin\_wang@163.com

**To:** editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

**CC:**

**Subject:** World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript - Decision on Manuscript  
ID NO: 79175

**Body:** -----

Dear editors:

Thank you very much for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "The Prevalence of Polymyxin-induced Nephrotoxicity and its Predictors in Critically Ill Adult Patients: A Meta-analysis" (Manuscript ID NO: 79175). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful of revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as following:

**Reviewer #1:**

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The manuscript titled "The Prevalence of Polymyxin-induced Nephrotoxicity and its Predictors in Critically Ill Adult Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis" by Wang et al describes the incidence of polymyxin induced nephrotoxicity in critically ill patients. The incidence of nephrotoxicity among the polymyxin treated patients is common, and is one of the reasons why the use of polymyxins have been restricted. However, in the current study the authors have done systematic analysis of the phenomenon and have provided solid statistical proof. Overall the work has been carried out systematically and have been presented well. I feel the manuscript can be accepted for publication in its present form.

**Response:** We are extremely grateful for the referee' s good evaluation.

**Reviewer #2:**

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Dear author, Congratulations on this valuable and great work. I am sure that it takes much more time to collect, analyze and to calculate. Finally great work

**Response:** We are extremely grateful for the referee' s good evaluation. In addition, this manuscript has been carefully edited by someone who is proficient in English. Meanwhile, we also send our revised manuscript to the American Journal Experts company, which is a professional English language editing company, to polish the manuscript. Here we did not list the changes but marked in revised paper.

#### **4 LANGUAGE POLISHING REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED BY AUTHORS WHO ARE NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

**Response:** The revised manuscript has been carefully edited by someone who is proficient in English and also has been polished by the American Journal Experts company.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in revised paper.

In all, I found the reviewer's and Editorial Office's comments and suggestions

are quite helpful, and I revised my paper point-by-point. We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. If you have any question about this paper, please don't hesitate to let me know.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Sincerely,

Jianglin Wang and Yue-liang Xie

Email: [jianglin\\_wang@163.com](mailto:jianglin_wang@163.com); xieyliang@csu.edu.cn