Point by point responses to the comments

We thank the reviewer for his/her constructive criticisms that have helped us to improve our manuscript. The point-by-point response to the comments is given below.

Reply to Science editor
The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it' s ready for the first decision.
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Response: I have retouched the revised article so that the language quality of the article can reach the Grade A

Reply to Company editor-in-chief
I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.
Response: I have used the RCA tool to search for the most influential literature and have added relevant content to the article.

Reply to Reviewer 1
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: In my opinion, this manuscript can be published in current form
Response: Many thanks to reviewer 1 for the affirmation of the article. I have retouched the revised article so that the language quality of the article can reach the Grade A

Reply to Reviewer 2

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: I have read manuscript for the detection of strongyloidiasis via metagonmic next generation sequence and really a new method for the detection of pathogens than conventional.

Response: Many thanks to reviewer 2 for the affirmation of the article.