

October, 30, 2022

Dear Dr. Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, Editor-in-Chief, *World Journal of Clinical case*

Dear Editor:

Please find enclosed my manuscript entitled “Pediatric kidney transplantation during the COVID-19 pandemic,” which I request you to consider for publication as an *Original Article* in *World Journal of Clinical case*

We thank referees for careful reading our manuscript and for giving useful comments. We have revised the manuscript “Pediatric kidney transplantation during the COVID-19 pandemic” (Manuscript NO: 80100, Minireviews) for Referee’s comments.

We look forward to a publication of our manuscript in *World Journal of Clinical case*

Our responses to the referee’s comments are as follows:

Reviewer#1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This is an interesting and timely review

Response: **Thank you for your coments.**

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Thanks for recommending me as a reviewer. In this mini review, authors aimed to explore the treatment of patients undergoing renal transplants in Japan

and outline the issues of pediatric end-stage disease treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic. 1. Please write your summary in one paragraph.

Response: Thank you for your comments. It was fixed in accordance with you pointed out.

***Science editor:***

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Response: Thank you for your comments.

***Company editor-in-chief:***

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

Response: Thank you for your comments. It was fixed in accordance with you pointed out.

Sincerely,

Hiroshi Tamura MD, PhD

Department of Pediatrics,

Faculty of Life Sciences, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto

1-1-1 Honjo, Kumamoto 860, Japan

Tel. JPN 096-373-5191