

Dear editor of World J Clin Cases

Thank you for your e mail

We would like to present our thanks for you for considering our work for publication. About the language polishing, we had sent this manuscript to Editage for secondary language editing. The point-by-point answers to each reviewer's comments are addressed as follows. Thank you again.

We hope the changes that we made will meet your approval.

Reviewer #1

- 1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes
- 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? -Yes
- 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes.
- 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? -Yes.
- 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Not applicable.
- 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? -Yes.
- 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? -Yes.
- 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? -Yes.
- 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Not applicable.
- 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? -Yes.
- 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? -Yes.
- 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? -Yes.
- 13 Research methods and reporting. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? -The CARE checklist mentions the "strengths and limitations in your approach to this case." Therefore, please state the limitations of the approach to this case in the manuscript in the discussion section. –
- 14 Ethics statements. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes

Response: Thank you for your comments, the limitations of the approach to this case was added in the discussion section.

Reviewer #2:

Thanks to the authors for the original and very interesting manuscript. This genetic disorder is very rare and the manuscript is presenting novel treatment approach. The authors have provided very informative and illustrative images. Overall it is very well structured, but for the reader probably it will be easier to understand if the cases are presented separately. The references should be presented with proper coding system

according to the Format for References Guidelines. Page 4 row 11- the verb "is" is missing after "it" and before "spontaneously".

Response: Thank you for your comment ,a revision was made as requested