

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This article is a brief and clear report of a case of the inappropriate use of tattoo ink, it's important to report to spread more awareness about the subject especially among young people. The limitation is lack of images and biological data

→ I totally agree with your opinion. Unfortunately, the patient refused all examinations and follow-ups.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade D (Fair)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Abstract language needs improvement.

→ The abstract language was missing proofreading. This time it was corrected.