

Answering reviewers

1. Specific Comments to Authors: The present study describes a case of Wilkie's syndrome associated with a secondary anxiety-depressive disorder. Additionally, A comprehensive overview of the diagnosis, treatment and characteristics of the disease is provided. The paper focuses on two main aspects: the secondary psychiatric effects and the key role of a multidisciplinary approach for disease management. This should be claim in the conclusion section of the abstract. Authors should report in the discussion if there are other studies dealing with their case. The major limitation is the short follow up period that prevents to reach conclusions on the anxiety syndrome resolution. Authors should declare it at the end of discussion. Furthermore, in the "outcome and follow up" section, they could report whether the patient has stopped or reduced the use of drugs.

This should be claim in the conclusion section of the abstract – We mentioned it in the conclusion part of the abstract page 3.

Authors should report in the discussion if there are other studies dealing with their case – We introduced 2 new citations and mentioned the information in the paragraph on page 17

Authors should declare it at the end of discussion – We declared it page 19

Furthermore, in the "outcome and follow up" section, they could report whether the patient has stopped or reduced the use of drugs – We contacted the patient and the informations were inserted at page 9, as indicated

2. Authors are requested to send their revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company or a native English-speaking expert to polish the manuscript further. When the authors submit the subsequent polished manuscript to us, they must provide a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

We did that.

3. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor

We did that.

4. It is unacceptable to have more than 3 references from the same journal. To resolve this issue and move forward in the peer-review/publication process, the authors must revise the reference list accordingly – We revised the reference list.