

**Dear Mr Ma:**

Thanks you very much for your comments and suggestions.

As suggested, we have revised the manuscript, according to the comments and suggestions, and responded, point by point to, the comments as listed below.

The revised manuscript has been edited and proofread by a medical editing company.

We would like to re-submit this revised manuscript to WJCC, and hope it is acceptable for publication in the journal.

Looking forward to hearing from you soon.

With kindest regards,

Yours Sincerely

Yonghua Mou

**Replies to Reviewers and Editor:**

First of all, we thank both reviewers and editors for their positive and constructive comments and suggestions.

**Replies to Reviewer #1:**

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** In this case report is described a rare case of abdominal PM. The authors have been complete in the text. I have few comments. Page 1 Line 17: incidence is missing. Reference are in the wrong order, looks like reference 4,5 come before reference 3 . Page 2 Line 51: “as far as we know and Page 8 Line 178 “Page 8 Line 178 “To the best of our knowledge Did the authors perform a literature review and if so, “as far as we know and To the best of our knowledge “ should be changed into “ after a literature review, the database (PUBMED? MEDLINE?) should be mentioned too and also the keywords that were used for the review.

1. Page 1 Line 17: incidence is missing.

**Answer:** We are so sorry that we consulted PubMed and web of science databases for

a lot of literatures and found no information about the incidence of PM.

2. Reference are in the wrong order, looks like reference 4,5 come before reference 3.

**Answer:** We have reorganised the entire reference section with one-to-one correspondence within the manuscript.

3. Page 2 Line 51: “as far as we know and Page 8 Line 178 “Page 8 Line 178 “To the best of our knowledge Did the authors perform a literature review and if so, “as far as we know and To the best of our knowledge “ should be changed into “ after a literature review, the database (PUBMED? MEDLINE?) should be mentioned too and also the keywords that were used for the review.

**Answer:**

Page 2 Line 51, After a literature review, we change this part to “case reports of PM were retrieved from the PubMed and Web of Science databases using the search terms “proliferative myositis” and “abdominal wall,” from 2000 to 2020, there were no matching case reports. Here, we examine a case of a large PM in the left anterior abdominal wall, where patch repair was employed following its resection.”

Page 8 Line 178, We feel that the meaning of this part was repeated with line 51 on page 2, so we delete the Page 8 Line 178.

### **Replies to the Editors note and suggestions:**

(1) Science editor:

5 Issues raised:

(1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

**Answer:** We have completed this work.

(2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

**Answer:** We have completed this work.

(3) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

**Answer:** We have completed this work.

(4) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

**Answer:** We have completed this work. However, some literatures lack DOI and some literatures lack PMID, but any literature has at least one of them.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file. Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

**Answer:** We have completed this work.