## **Response to reviewer 1:**

**Question 1:** The number of studies could be increased to add them in the information, they mention only 9 studies, if more studies are available they could be added, however the number of patients included is so good.

**Answer 1:** Actually, only nine studies explored the association of YKL-40 with prognosis of colorectal carcinoma patients up to now. To be honest, this is one of the limitations of this study and we have explained this in the discussion part.

**Question 2:** In the introduction in the line 15 it would be important to add in which types of cancer YKL-40 is overexpressed.

**Answer 2:** We have indicated this in the line 15. "such as the glioblastoma, melanoma, small cell lung cancer and colorectal carcinoma".

**Question 3:** In the line 17 and 18 it should be added in which types of cancer the expression of YKL-40 has been detected by immunohistochemistry. **Answer 3:** We have pointed out the type of cancer "glioblastoma".

**Question 4:** In line 19 it would be important to add what types of cancer serum YKL-40 levels have been evaluated.

**Answer 4**: We have added the types of cancers "breast cancer, melanoma, ovarian cancer and renal cell cancer".

Question 5: In line 22 what do they refer to with inconsistent results?

**Answer 5**: This sentence means that some studies reported positive results and some studies reported nonsignificant association of YKL-40 with prognosis of colorectal carcinoma patients.

**Question 6:** In the Inclusion and exclusion criteria, how they divided their group of patients in relation to normal and elevated levels of YKL-40 in serum or plasma, specify if they used average, median or percentiles, specify the value.

**Answer 6:** In the table 1, we have specified the source of the critical values of YKL-40.

**Response to reviewer 2: None.** 

**Response to editor: Abbreviations:** actually, the word "YKL-40" does not have a full name.

**Response to science editor:** 

**Question 1:** The number of cases in this paper is small, and although publication bias has no significant effect, I am full of concern about it.

**Answer 1:** To be honest, this is one of the limitations of this study and we have pointed out in the discussion part.

**Question 2:** Other basic case information of patients should be included in basic characteristics of included studies, such as tumor indicators, gender, age, etc. **Answer 2:** We have added the information about gender, age and number of color or rectal carcinoma patients in the table 1.