

Dear Editor Lian-Sheng Ma

Thank you very much for your decision letter and advice on our manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 66255) entitled “Quetiapine-related acute lung injury: a case report”. We also thank the reviewers for the constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript accordingly, and all amendments are indicated by red font in the revised manuscript. In addition, our point-by-point responses to the comments are listed below this letter.

This revised manuscript has been edited and proofread by *Medjaden Inc.*

We hope that our revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication in your journal and look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Yixia Huang
Email: 378206482@qq.com

First of all, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their constructive and positive comments.

Replies to Reviewer 1

Specific Comments

1. This case report raises the possibility that quetiapine is associated with lung injury. The authors did not mention the work-up made (diagnostic tests) to rule out other causes (infectious?).

Response: I agree with you. Thank you for your insightful suggestion. Diagnostic tests, such as cultures, CRP and blood cell count have been added in the case presentation (Page 5, Lines 12-18, Page 6, Lines 13-17).

2. In the discussion, the authors do not turn our attention to previous relevant findings. They should have cited important reports on interstitial lung disease secondary to quetiapine (such as Kim SJ et al. Respiratory Care 2014; 59 (10) e145-e148).

Response: Thank you for your kind suggestion. Several articles have been cited to explain the correlation between interstitial pneumonia and quetiapine (Page 9, Lines 8-13). In addition, the report you mentioned here has also been cited as ref# 6.

Replies to Reviewer 2

Specific Comments:

1. This is a well-designed interesting study, and the manuscript is well-written, but the authors should follow the following points: 1- Do not repeat the words as in the abstract and core tip.

Response: Thanks for your kind comment. The core tip has been revised (Page 3, Lines 19-26).

2. Write the full illustration under figure legends.

Response: Thank you. The information has been added (Page 13).

3. Delete one of the discussions at the end of case report.

Response: Thank you. We have deleted it.

4. List all authors of the references.

Response: Thank you. The references have been updated.