

The paper is well written, but the argument of how novel the literature is lacking. I was not able to see the clinical importance of this narrative review.

Reply Reviewer1: This is a narrative review rather than a systematic review and meta-analysis, so there is no statistical comparison. This review includes the latest progress of nucleic acid detection and the latest Omicron strain, which is of certain clinical significance.

Minor revision required. 1. Include data collection methods and protocols. 2. Include recently published work. 3. also include the literature review for new variant of COVID. 4. Emphasize the impact on society and human.

Reply Reviewer2: (1) There is no data for this review, so there is no need to collect data. (2) The corresponding author has replied to his/her recently published work. (3) According to the opinions of reviewers, the literature review of the latest novel coronavirus variation has been added to the introduction. (4) According to the opinions of reviewers, the impact on society and human beings has been emphasized in the introduction.

The authors discuss in this paper the clinical investigations in the diagnosis and treatment of the effects resulting from Covid. The title reflects the content with a well-elucidated text and a structured methodology consolidated with a rich and recent bibliography. The analysis of the data reflects the scientific quality of the statistical and comparative approach adopted by the authors with a convergence towards a clinically satisfactory conclusion.

Reply Reviewer3: Thank you for the reviewer's affirmation of our article, we will continue to work hard.