Peer Reviews & Rebuttal - MS no: 82423

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Novelty of This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Creativity or Innovation of This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Scientific Significance of the Conclusion in This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Specific comments to Authors: 1. Reviewer #1: Dear Authors, the Manuscript Individualised Diabetes Care: Lessons From the Real-world Experience is what every clinician encounters in his daily practice and is therefore an important topic to write about. Although it is quite difficult to make the selection of cases I think you did a good job by the 5 cases presented. Figures are an additional benefit. I suggest the publication.

Answer: Thanks for the encouraging comments by the reviewer. We highly appreciate the time and effort taken by the expert reviewer to critically appraise our paper and make the positive recommendations.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Novelty of This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Creativity or Innovation of This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Scientific Significance of the Conclusion in This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)

Specific comments to Authors: 1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "on management" may be as "on the management"; "proper" as "a proper"; "individual" as "the individual"; "the real-world" as "real-world"; "elaborate the" as "elaborate on the"; "care of" as "the care of"; "10-year" as "a 10-year"; "with gradual" as "with a gradual"; "and blood" as "and her blood"; "discussion" as "a discussion"; "when body" as "when her body"; "Semaglutide" as "os Semaglutide"; "initiation" as "the initiation"; "was commenced" as "has commenced"; "had further" as "had a further"; "long-term" as "a long-term"; "of small" as "of the small"; "fructosamine" as "a fructosamine"; "patient was" as "patient were";

"weight" as "for weight"; ", patient" as ", the patient"; "injection liraglutide" as "an injection of liraglutide"; "of her" as "in her"; "with knee" as "by knee"; "the diabetes" as "diabetes"; "12-year" as "a 12-year"; "recent" as "a recent"; "the previous" as "a previous"; "willing for" as "willing to"; "sulphonylurea" as "the sulphonylurea"; "marked" as "a marked"; "therapy 40" as "therapy of 40"; "8-year" as "an 8-year"; "was commenced" as "has commenced"; "of liver" as "of the liver"; "T1DM were" as "T1DM was"; "the cancer" as "cancer"; "few" as "a few"; "patient's" as "the patient's"; "of all" as "all"; "for this" as "of this"; "SMS" as "of SMS"; "the 1975" as "1975"; "a rigorous" as "rigorous"; "consumption" as "the consumption"; "the obesity" as "obesity"; "the lifestyle" as "lifestyle"; "the biochemical" as "biochemical"; "an inhibition to" as "inhibition of"; "the hepatic" as "hepatic"; "worsening" as "the worsening"; "the peripheral" as "peripheral"; "the anorexiant" as "an anorexiant"; "are beneficial" as "is beneficial"; "on sufficiently" as "sufficiently"; "when HbA1c" as "when the HbA1c"; "The combination" as "Combination"; "the insulin" as "insulin"; "the antidiabetic" as "antidiabetic"; "addition" as "the addition"; "the feeding" as "feeding"; "of weight" as "of their weight"; "also shown" as "also been shown"; "these group" as "this group"; "the micro-" as "micro-"; "effect was" as "effect"; "GLP-1RA" as "the GLP-1RA"; "management" as "the management"; "a significant" as "significant"; "the SGLT2-i" as "SGLT2-i"; "also shown" as "has also been shown"; "the high" as "high"; "among the" as "among"; "of body's" as "of the body's"; "recommended for" as "recommended"; "history" as "a history"; "the drug" as "drug"; "benefits of" as "the benefits of"; "with SGLT2-i" as "with the SGLT2-i"; "the glycaemic" as "glycaemic"; "on the HbA1c" as "on HbA1c"; "the several" as "several"; "which does" as "which do"; "of the glycaemic" as "of glycaemic"; "whom their" as "whose"; "the significant" as "significant"; "the overall" as "overall"; "all the T2DM" as "all T2DM"; "both are" as "both is"; ", hepatic" as ", a hepatic"; "the glycaemic" as "glycaemic"; "The continuous" as "Continuous"; "the ambient" as "ambient"; "with increased" as "with an increased"; "on their" as "their"; "the recent" as "recent"; "the T1DM" as "T1DM"; "the patients" as "patients"; "the obese" as "obese"; "a satisfactory" as "satisfactory"; "the protein" as "protein"; "the hepatic" as "hepatic"; "sustained" as "a sustained"; "in T1DM" as "in the T1DM"; "remains as" as "remains"; "sustained of" as "sustained"; "total" as "a total"; "in risk" as "in the risk"; "from use" as "from the use"; "us more" as "us with more"; "10,000 in" as "10,000"; "23,000 in" as "23,000"; "the pancreatic" as "pancreatic"; ", mutation" as ", a mutation"; "who has" as "who have"; "presence personal" as "the presence of personal"; "presence" as "the presence"; ", family" as ", a family"; "in based" as "based"; "the monogenic" as "monogenic"; "similar" as "a similar"; "the all-cause" as "all-cause"; "the monotherapy" as "monotherapy"; "the hyperglycaemia" as "hyperglycaemia"; "reduces the postprandial" as "reducing postprandial"; "of pancreas" as "of the pancreas"; "The type" as "Type"; "of pancreas" as "of the pancreas"; "it was" as "it being"; "the pancreatic" as "pancreatic"; "the lung" as "lung"; "for presence" as "for the presence"; "the acute" as "acute"; "The patients"

as "Patients"; "which are" as "which is"; "worsening of" as "worsening"; "poor" as "a poor"; "patient and family" as "the patient and families"; "the symptoms management" as "the management of the symptoms"; "The diabetes" as "Diabetes"; "end of life" as "the end of-life"; "minimal" as "a minimal"; "has been" as "have been"; "a more" as "more". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.

Answer: Thanks for the comments by the reviewer for modifying the paper. We highly appreciate the time and effort taken by the expert reviewer to critically appraise our paper and make the recommendations for modifications. We have now amended the marked revision of the paper to modify the language errors appropriately.

2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "follow up" may be as "follow-up"; "result in" as "results in"; "to tailor" as "to tailoring"; "Key words" as "Keywords"; "high density" as "high-density"; "low density" as "low-density"; "turn over" as "turnover"; "gradual" as "gradually"; "one month" as "one-month"; "end of life" as "end-of-life"; "course of" as "courses of"; "preventive by" as "prevention by"; "low energy" as "low-energy"; "tissues, made" as "tissues made"; "weight neutral" as "weight-neutral"; "effect such" as "effects such"; "dose dependent" as "dosedependent"; "achieved" as "achieving"; "extended release" as "extended-release"; "Sodium Glucose" as "Sodium-Glucose"; "therefore" as ", therefore,"; "first line" as "first-line"; "add on" as "add-on"; "diabetic related" as "diabetic-related"; "high dose" as "high doses"; "post meal" as "post-meal"; "disability" as "disabilities"; "nondiabetic" as "non-diabetic"; "needs to" as "need to"; "weighted" as "weighed"; "maturity onset" as "maturity-onset"; "encodes a" as "encode a"; "lead to" as "leads to"; "beta cell" as "beta-cell"; "exclude" as "excluding"; "ethnicity" as "ethnic"; "responds well" as "respond well"; "all form" as "all forms"; "new onset" as "newonset"; "cost effective" as "cost-effective"; "and preserving" as "and preserve"; "acceptable" as "accepted". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.

Answer: Thanks for these suggestions. We modified the language and typing errors as suggested where necessary in the marked revision.

3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, blood pressure was (BP), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), capillary blood glucose (CBG), etc.,). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated.

Answer: Thanks for these suggestions. We have now modified the manuscript with addition of expansions for the abbreviations where necessary.

4. The figure legends should be improved and a proper footnote should be given. All legends should have enough description for a reader to understand the figures without having to refer back to the main text of the manuscript. For example, the necessary abbreviations should be given which are used in the present investigation (in figure 3, GIP, GLP).

Answer: Thanks for these suggestions. We have now modified the figure legends appropriately to address this issue.

5. In conclusion, it is highly recommended to include limitation and future direction of the study for better understanding.

Answer: Thanks for these suggestions. We have now added a new paragraph to include this suggestion to enhance reader experience and quality of the paper.

LANGUAGE POLISHING REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED BY AUTHORS WHO ARE NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

Authors are requested to send their revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company or a native English-speaking expert to polish the manuscript further. When the authors submit the subsequent polished manuscript to us, they must provide a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS #3

Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision. Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing) Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Answer: Thanks for the suggestions and we have made modifications in the whole manuscript to incorporate all the review comments and editors' comments.

We have also performed additional language editing to ensure that the manuscript meets the language quality for the journal.

Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published; and correctly indicating the reference source and copyrights. For example, "Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]". And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable.

Answer: Thanks for the suggestions and we have now provided the modified figures and taken care of all the review comments and modified the whole paper.

We hope the modified manuscript after incorporating suggestions from the review comments and editors' advice would meet the publication standards of *World Journal of Diabetes*.