

Response to Review Comments

No	REVIEW COMMENTS	AUTHORS' RESPONSE
Reviewer #1	<p>All abbreviations should be expanded 2. "The efficacies of two kinds of music intervention (relaxing music and joyful music) were tested with regards to reduction in glucose levels. Specifically, music for relaxation reduced glucose levels from 169.76 to 148.1, whereas music for joy reduced glucose levels from 197.53 to 172.83." I'd like to ask Authors to explain if patients with DM2 during music therapy were using other treatments such as metformin and if so - what was its effect on the study? 3. All wording such as "type 2 diabetes" should be replaced with DM2. 4. It would be worth updating the literature - the vast majority of items are much older than 5 years. If possible, please add recent research. The manuscript is well structured and raises an interesting and clinically relevant issue. It is a valuable, comprehensive document that, with minor revisions, is definitely worth publishing.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, many thanks for your comments. We have made the recommended corrections. The grammatical errors were reviewed and addressed. According to the reviewed literature, we have clarified in the manuscript that patients with DM2 during music therapy were not using other treatments such as metformin. All wording such as "type 2 diabetes" have been replaced with DM2. We have also updated the literature by add recent research that were shown to be most relevant to this research article.</p>
Reviewer #2	<p>The authors analyzed positive effects of music as adjunctive nonpharmacological treatment of diabetes. The inclusion criteria are very robust. Suppose that in some areas native music has had important influence on diabetics well-being.</p>	<p>Many thanks for your detailed review comments. We have indicated in the manuscript where the use of some areas of native music has had important influence on diabetics' well-being. Thus, necessary adjustment has been made in the manuscript to include your suggestion.</p>
Science Editor (3)	<p>The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.</p>	<p>Thank you so much for your comment. The language of the manuscript has undergone minor polishing as recommended by reviewer.</p>
Company Editor-In-Chief (4)	<p>I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The authors adhered to your advice and have made the necessary changes. Searches using the keywords were conducted and some articles were retrieved from the RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/ to improve the manuscript revision.</p>

advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>
