

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of relevant detection indicator of prethrombotic state in patients with primary hypertension. The manuscript is well written. Minor comments: 1. Some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. The methods and results in the abstract is too long. The authors should short these sections. 3. The images should be updated. The resolution ratio of the images seems not so good.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors analyzed the differences in these indicators of prethrombotic state in patients with PH from different patient populations to provide a laboratory basis for the clinical prevention and control of the occurrence and development of hypertensive thrombotic diseases. The study is well performed and the findings are interesting. The reviewer recommends to accept this manuscript after a minor editing. Thank you.

Reviewer1#

Thank you very much for your feedback. I have made modifications to the manuscript.

Reviewer2#

Author response: Thank you very much for your careful review and constructive suggestions with regard to our manuscript. Meanwhile, I am also very grateful to you for the specific modification strategy. I have made comprehensive and detailed changes according to your suggestions.

Below is our point-by-point modification to the reviewer's suggestions.

- (1) Some minor language polishing should be revised.

I have made minor language modifications.

- (2) The methods and results in the abstract is too long. The authors should short these sections.

The contents in the method are required by the article, including grouping methods and detection indicators (full name and abbreviation), which cannot be shortened, but the relevant contents in the results have been shortened.

(3) The images should be updated. The resolution ratio of the images seems not so good.

High resolution ratio image have provided.