Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thanks very much for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Fungal corneal ulcer after repair of an overhanging filtering bleb: A case report and literature review" (WJCC Manuscript NO: 86324). Those comments are all valuable and guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are highlighted with yellow color in the revised manuscript. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as following:

Comment 1: I suggest improving the introduction with more information on filtering bleb in general and the development of a corneal fungal infection

Response: Thank you very much for your suggestions. We have added some information on filtering blebs in general and the development of a corneal fungal infection to the manuscript.

Comment 2: Where haematological data been collected and annotated? If yes, they can be included in the report

Response: Thank you for your valuable advice. We performed routine haematological tests before the overhanging filtering bleb repair surgery, and we have added a description of the results in the report.

.

Comment 3: Discussion, concerning the "chronic and persistent weak inflammatory responses" these two references on inflammogenesis should be included https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/14/5/1116 and https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6628010/

Response: Thank you very much for your suggestions. We have read these two

articles carefully and quoted them in the corresponding positions.

Comment 4: Please include study limitations in the discussion

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. We have added this information to the

discussion about the limitations of this study.

Comment 5: Figure 1, panel b is difficult to be seen given the presence of the camera

light, is it possible to improve the quality of the image?

Response: Thank you very much for your reminder. We searched all the pictures

taken on that day. We greatly regret that we could not find any clearer ones. In the

future, when collecting clinical data, we must pay more attention to the quality of

the photography.

We appreciate for Editors and Reviewers' warm work earnestly and hope that the

correction will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your

comments and suggestions

Yours sincerely

Zhao Jing